

## *PUBLICATION ETHICS*

**Legal framework that guaranties publication ethics is provided by international standards:** statements approved by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Word Conference on Research Integrity, Singapore, 22-24 July and the regulations contained in Chapter 70 “Copyright” of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation.

**Editors of the journal “Dialogue with time” published by the Institute of World History, RAS, vouches to adhere to:**

- **Ethics of the publication of academic papers;**
- **Ethics of authorship of academic papers;**
- **Ethics of peer reviewing of academic papers;**
- **Ethics of editing of academic papers.**

## *ETHICS OF THE PUBLICATION OF ACADEMIC PAPERS*

**Editors of the journal ‘Dialogue with Time’ (Editors):**

1. ensure that all submitted materials would be considered; guarantee the Editors’ independence and integrity in decision making without discrimination of authors on grounds of ethnicity or religious beliefs, regardless of the authors’ position, commercial interests and connections to the publishers of the Journal; make sure their policy is based on respect towards personal rights of authors and their intellectual property rights;
2. implement the Journal’s policy to provide and maintain the high standard of **published scholarly material**, ensure that the publication make a substantial contribution to scholarship (in the field of history, intellectual history, history of philosophy, philology); strive to satisfy the needs of readers and authors;
3. accept **topicality, importance, clarity, reliability and validity** of published material as guiding principles of their editorial work;
4. embrace the following criteria of high standard of published materials:
  - **validity:** research should be conducted carefully and meticulously, in ethical manner and in accordance with all relevant legislation; authors are responsible for their work and the contents of their publications;
  - **honesty:** authors should present their results honestly and without fabrication, falsification or inappropriate data manipulation;
  - **unambiguity:** publications should provide sufficient detail so that other researchers could repeat their experiments;
  - **completeness of presented materials:** reviews of existing scholarship and authors’ conclusions should be complete, balanced and should not omit any data regardless of whether it supports their hypothesis or interpretation, or not;
  - **balance:** new findings should be presented in the context of existing scholarship;
  - **originality:** authors guarantee that submitted work is original and had never been published elsewhere in any language; a work should not be submitted simultaneously for more than one publication;
  - **transparency:** publications should disclose all sources of research funding, including direct and indirect financial support, supply of materials and other support;
5. strive to invite high qualified members of editorial board who can actively contribute to the development and good management of the journal;

6. constantly **improve the institutes of reviewing, editing and expert evaluation** of publications to guarantee that peer reviews are precise, fair, complete, clear, and timely;
7. ensure that readers are informed about financial support received by published scholarly work its sponsors;
8. use available software to **screen publications** for image manipulation, plagiarism, duplicate or redundant publication;;
9. make the final decision to **accept or reject** a paper for publication after considering all comments of peer reviewers. Final editorial decision and reasons for it are communicated to authors.

## **AUTHORSHIP ETHICS**

### **Authors of publications:**

- should guarantee that only those researchers who meet the criteria of authorship (i.e., who made a substantial contribution to work) are listed as authors, and that deserving authors are not omitted;
- should agree to be listed as authors and authorize the submitted version of their work; any change to the list of authors should be authorized by all authors, including those who are to be removed from the list;
- should be familiar with a work submitted for publication and guarantee that this work meets the above-mentioned criteria;
- should work with editors or publishers to correct their paper promptly if errors and omissions are discovered after publication;
- have a responsibility to inform the journal promptly if they become aware of an error in a paper submitted or approved for publication, or a paper already published;
- are not allowed to copy references from other publications to works they have not read; citations and references to other works should be accurate and follow editorial guidelines;
- should acknowledge properly and accurately all relevant previous work (both by other scholars and by authors themselves); primary sources should be referenced wherever possible; replication or paraphrasing of previous works are not allowed, these can only be used as a foundation for new conclusions;
- are required to acknowledge the authorship of data, text, images and ideas originated from other sources – these should not be presented as produced by authors of the publications; direct citations from the works by other scholars should be marked by inverted commas and appropriate references;
- should follow applicable copyright laws and conventions; copyright material (e.g. tables,, figures or extensive quotations) should only be reproduced with appropriate permission and acknowledgements.

## ***PEER REVIEW ETHICS***

1. Editors guarantee that independent peer review would be conducted in a way that ensures honesty and objectivity of the evaluation of scholarly value of a submitted paper.
2. In accordance with the Journal's policy, the editors establish the procedure of peer reviewing of materials submitted for publication.
3. Editors ensure that the process of peer review is honest and proper.
4. Editors may reject a paper without an independent peer review when the paper is considered of poor quality or unsuitable for the audience of the journal. This decision should be honest and unbiased and can only be made in accordance with the journal's editorial policy.
5. Editors select peer reviewers for submitted publications out of scholars with sufficient expertise and avoid those with conflicting interests.
6. If serious concerns about the validity of data or suitability of a submitted work, Editors allows an author to respond to these concerns.
7. Editors protect the confidentiality of materials submitted by authors and inform reviewers that they are under obligation to keep the materials confidential as well. Editors should not inform any other than authors of a paper of its status.
8. Editors protect the confidentiality of reviewers.

## **EDITORIAL ETHIC**

1. Editors make decisions to accept or reject submitted materials, which makes it especially important to ensure this process is fair and objective and in accordance with the journal's scholarly vision.
2. All editorial processes are described in the Information for authors; all requirements to be met by authors, and the types of materials accepted for publication are listed there, and all stages of editorial work with submitted materials are mentioned.
3. In order to ensure the reliability of published data, in case genuine mistakes are discovered in publications, corrections (or errata) should be published promptly. The online version of the paper may be corrected with a date of correction and a reference to the printed erratum. If an error makes the paper or its substantial part invalid the paper should be retracted with an explanation provided (i.e., an honest error).
4. After consideration, Editors take relevant measures with appropriate comments explaining the results of peer review.
5. Editors must respond to all allegation or suspicions of misconduct in connection with research or publication raised by readers, reviewers or other editors.
6. Editors must provide authors with the list of requirements. Editors work in accordance to the system of identity guarantee.
7. Editors receives information about on problems uncovered and makes final decision to accept a paper for publication or to reject it. Retracted materials are preserved online, and these are prominently marked as a retraction in all online versions.

Editorial conflict of interests should be declared publicly. Editors should not make decisions in connection with papers where they have a conflict of interests. Конфликты интересов редактора должны оглашаться публично.